EXAMINATION SCOPE

WKP. REF.

- 1. For institutions (interstate and intrastate) with more than one assessment area, select assessment areas for review. To select one or more assessment areas for an on-site examination, review prior performance evaluations, available community contact materials, reported lending data and demographic data on each assessment area and consider factors such as:
 - the level of the institution's lending, investment and service activity in the different assessment areas, particularly in low- and moderate-income areas, designated disaster areas, or distressed or underserved nonmetropolitan middle-income geographies designated by the Agencies¹ based on (a) rates of poverty, unemployment, and population loss or (b) population size, density, and dispersion²;
 - the number of other institutions in the different assessment areas and the
 importance of the institution under examination in meeting credit needs in the
 different assessment areas, particularly in areas with a limited number of
 financial service providers;
 - the existence of apparent anomalies in the reported lending data for any particular assessment area(s);
 - the time since the assessment area(s) was most recently examined on-site;
 - performance that falls short of plan goals based on a review of available data;
 - the institution's prior CRA performance in the different assessment areas; and
 - comments from the public regarding the institution's CRA performance.

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	

¹ The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, and the Office of Thrift Supervision.

² A list of distressed or underserved nonmetropolitan middle-income geographies is available on the FFIEC web site at <u>www.ffiec.gov</u>.

WKP. REF.

2.	For interstate institutions, a rating must be assigned for each state where the institution has a branch and in every multistate MSA where the institution has
	branches in two or more of the states that comprise that multistate MSA. Select one or more assessment areas in each state for examination using these procedures.
Ex	AMINATION PROCEDURES

Performance Context

hree or	the Matters Requiring Board Attention and Corrective Actions from the four previous ROEs to ensure that the board and management have taken rate corrective action where necessary and persistent problems have not d.
he ager	the institution's public file for any comments received by the institution or ncy since the last CRA performance evaluation that assists in evaluating the on's record of meeting plan goals.
Conside goals.	er any information that the institution provides on its record of meeting plan

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	

W	KP.	REF.
7 7	$\mathbf{I}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{I}$.	ILI.

6.	Contact local community, governmental, or economic development representatives
	to update or supplement information about the institution's record of meeting plan
	goals.

7.	As necessary, consider any information the institution or others may provide on
	local community and economic conditions that may affect the institution's ability to
	meet plan goals or otherwise assist in the evaluation of the institution.

Performance Criteria

- 8. Review the following:
 - the approved plan and approved amendments;
 - the agency's approval process files; and
 - written comments from the public that the institution or the agency received since the plan became effective.
- 9. Determine whether the institution achieved its performance goals for each assessment area examined.
 - Review the plan's measurable annual goals for each performance category and assessment area(s) to be reviewed.
 - Obtain information and data about the institution's actual performance for the period that has elapsed since the previous examination.

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	

		WKP. REF.
	• Compare the plan goals for each assessment area reviewed to the institution's actual performance since its last examination in each assessment area reviewed to determine if all of the plan's goals have been met.	
10.	If any goals were not met, form a conclusion as to whether the plan goals were "substantially met." In doing so, consider the number of unmet goals, the degree to which the goals were not met, the importance of those goals to the plan as a whole, and the reasons why the goals were not met (e.g., economic factors beyond the institution's control).	
11.	Discuss with management the preliminary findings.	
12.	Summarize conclusions about the institution's performance.	
Rat	tings	
the a	se instructions assume that the strategic plan covers all of the institution's assessment analysis of performance for the assessment area(s) covered by the strategic plan must be the analyses for assessment areas that were subject to other assessment method(s) in a rating.	e combined
13.	Group the analyses of the assessment areas examined by MSA ³ and nonmetropolitan areas within each state where the institution has branches. If an institution has branches in two or more states of a multistate MSA, group the assessment areas that are in that MSA.	
3 The	reference to MSA may also reference MD.	
	Exam Date:	
	Prepared By:	
	Reviewed By: Docket #:	
	Docket #:	

WKP. REF.

- 14. If the institution has substantially met its plan goals for a satisfactory rating or, if applicable, an outstanding rating, in all assessment areas reviewed, summarize conclusions about the institution's performance in each MSA and the nonmetropolitan area of each state in which an assessment area was examined using these procedures. Assign the appropriate preliminary rating for the institution and, as applicable, each state or multistate MSA and proceed to step 18, below.
- 15. If the institution did not substantially meet its plan goals in each assessment area, check to determine if the institution elected in its plan to be evaluated under an alternate assessment method.
 - If the institution did not elect in the plan to be evaluated under an alternate assessment method, assign a "Needs to Improve" or "Substantial Noncompliance" rating to those assessment areas in which plan goals were not substantially met, depending on the number of goals missed, the extent to which they were missed, and their importance to the plan overall.
 - If the institution elected in its plan to be evaluated under an alternate assessment method, perform the appropriate procedures to evaluate and rate the institution's performance in those assessment areas in which the institution did not meet plan goals.
- 16. For institutions operating in multiple assessment areas, determine the relative importance of the assessment areas reviewed in forming conclusions for each MSA and the nonmetropolitan area within each state and for any multistate MSA where the institution has branches in two or more states. In making that determination, consider:
 - the significance of the institution's activities in each compared to the institution's overall activities;
 - the lending, service, and investment opportunities in each;

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	

WKP. REF.

- the significance of the institution's loans, qualified investments, and lendingrelated services, as applicable, for each, particularly in light of the number of other institutions and the extent of their activities in each; and
- demographic and economic conditions in each.
- 17. For an institution operating in multiple MSAs or nonmetropolitan areas in one or more states or multistate MSAs, assign a preliminary rating for each state and multistate MSA. To determine the relative significance of each MSA and nonmetropolitan area to the rating in a state, consider:
 - the significance of the institution's activities in each compared to the institution's overall activities;
 - the lending, service, and investment opportunities in each;
 - the significance of the institution's loans, qualified investments, and lendingrelated services, as applicable, for each, particularly in light of the number of other institutions and the extent of their activities in each; and
 - demographic and economic conditions in each.
- 18. For institutions with operations in more than one state, assign a preliminary overall rating. In determining the relative significance of the institution's performance in each state or multistate MSA to its overall rating consider:
 - the significance of the institution's activities in each compared to the institution's overall activities;
 - the lending, service, and investment opportunities in each;
 - the significance of the institution's loans, qualified investments, and lendingrelated services, as applicable, for each, particularly in light of the number of other institutions and the extent of their activities in each; and

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	

		WKP. REF.
	demographic and economic conditions in each.	
19.	Review the results of the most recent compliance examination and determine whether evidence of discrimination should lower the institution's overall CRA rating or, if applicable, its CRA rating in any state or multistate MSA. If evidence of discrimination or other illegal credit practices in any geography by the institution, or in any assessment area by any affiliate whose loans were considered as part of the institution's lending performance, was found, consider:	
	• the nature, extent, and strength of the evidence of the practices;	
	• the policies and procedures that the institution (or affiliate, if applicable) has taken, or has committed to take, including voluntary corrective action resulting from self-assessment;	
	 any corrective action the institution took, or committed to take, particularly voluntary corrective action resulting from a self-assessment conducted prior to the examination; and 	
	 any other relevant information, such as the institution's past fair lending performance. 	
20.	Discuss conclusions with management and assign a final rating to the institution and state or multistate MSA ratings, as applicable, considering the preliminary rating and any evidence of discrimination and other illegal credit practices.	
21.	Write comments for the public evaluation and the examination report.	
prohit Owne	idence of discriminatory or other illegal credit practices" includes, but is not limited to: (a) Discrimination against appointed basis in violation, for example, of the Equal Credit Opportunity Act or the Fair Housing Act; (b) Violations of exship and Equity Protection Act; (c) Violations of section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act; (d) Violations of Estate Settlement Procedures Act; and (e) Violations of the Truth in Lending Act regarding a consumer's right of reserving the Exam Date: Exam Date: Prepared By:	the Home section 8 of the

Reviewed By: Docket #:

WKP. REF.

Public File Checklist

- 22. There is no need to review each branch or each complete public file during every examination. In determining the extent to which the institution's public files should be reviewed, consider the institution's record of compliance with the public file requirements in previous examinations, its branching structure and changes to it since its last examination, complaints about the institution's compliance with the public file requirements, and any other relevant information.
- 23. In any review of the public file undertaken, determine, as needed, whether branches display an accurate public notice in their lobbies, a complete public file is available in the institution's main office and at least one branch in each state, and the public file available in the main office and in a branch in each state contains:
 - a copy of the approved strategic plan;
 - all written comments from the public relating to the institution's CRA
 performance and responses to them for the current and preceding two calendar
 years (except those that reflect adversely on the good name or reputation of any
 persons other than the institution);
 - the institution's most recent CRA Public Performance Evaluation;
 - a map of each assessment area showing its boundaries and, on the map or in a separate list, the geographies contained within the assessment area;
 - a list of the institution's branches, branches opened and closed during the current and each of the prior two calendar years, and their street addresses and geographies;
 - a list of services (loan and deposit products and transaction fees generally
 offered, and hours of operation at the institution's branches), including a
 description of any material differences in the availability or cost of services
 between those locations;

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	

WKP. REF.

- the institution's CRA Disclosure Statement(s) for the prior two calendar years;
- a quarterly report of the institution's efforts to improve its record if it received a less than satisfactory rating during its most recent CRA examination;
- the HMDA Disclosure Statement for the prior two calendar years for the institution and for each non-depository affiliate the institution has elected to include in assessment of its CRA record, if applicable;
- the number and dollar amount of consumer loans, for large banks, if applicable; and
- the loan-to-deposit ratio, for small institutions.
- 24. In any branch review undertaken, determine whether the branch provides the most recent public evaluation and a list of services available at the branch or a description of material differences from the services generally available at the institution's other branches.

EXAMINER'S SUMMARY, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND COMMENTS

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	