RESCINDED

Community Reinvestment Act Examination Procedures for Large Retail Savings Associations Program

Replaced by OCC Bulletin 2014-16

EXAMINATION SCOPE

WKP. REF.

For all large, retail savings associations (interstate and intrastate) with more than one assessment area (AA), select assessment areas for a full scope review. A full scope review is accomplished when you complete all of the procedures for an assessment area. For interstate institutions, a minimum of one AA from each state, and a minimum of one AA from each multistate metropolitan statistical area/metropolitan division (MSA/MD), must be reviewed using the examination procedures.

- 1. Review the Matters Requiring Board Attention and Corrective Actions from the three or four previous ROEs to ensure that the board and management have taken appropriate corrective action where necessary and persistent problems have not recurred.
- 2. Review prior CRA performance evaluations, available community contact materials, and HMDA and CRA performance data including the institution's lending, investment, and service activities by assessment area, the lending of other lenders in those markets, and demographic information from those markets.
- 3. Select assessment areas for full scope review by considering the factors below.
 - The lending, investment, and service opportunities in the various assessment areas, particularly areas where the need for bank credit, investments and services is significant.
 - The level of the institution's lending, investment, and service activity in the various assessment areas, particularly low- and moderate-income areas, designated disaster areas, or distressed or underserved nonmetropolitan middleincome geographies designated by the Agencies¹ based on (a) rates of poverty,

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	

¹ The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, and the Office of Thrift Supervision.

WKP. REF.

- unemployment, and population loss or (b) population size, density, and dispersion.²
- The number of other institutions in the various assessment areas and the importance of the institution under examination in serving the various areas, particularly any areas with relatively few other providers of financial services.
- Comments and feedback received from community groups and the public regarding the stitution's CRA performance.
- The size the pulation.
- The existence of a carea anomalies in the reported CRA or HMDA data for any particular as assemble (s).
- The length of time sing the assessment area(s) was reviewed.
- The institution's prior CRA presormatice in its various assessment areas.
- Your knowledge of the same of initial assessment areas.
- Issues raised during CRA examinations of the institutions and prior community contacts in the institution's assumer to as or similar assessment areas.

EXAMINATION PROCEDURES

See Appendix A to the CRA Handbook Section for Large Retail Savings Sociations.

Performance Context

4. Review standardized worksheets and other agency information sources to obtain relevant demographic, economic, and loan data, to the extent available, for each assessment area under review. Compare the data to similar data for the MSA/MD, county, or state to determine how any similarities or differences will help in

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	

² A list of distressed or underserved nonmetropolitan middle-income geographies is available on the FFIEC web site at www.ffiec.gov.

		WKP. I
evaluating lending, investment, and service oppositions on the assessment area. Also nousing costs that are particularly high given area.	o consider whether the area has	
Obtain for review the Thrift Financial Reports (TReports (UTPRs) annual reports, supervisory rephe institution order examination to help unders capacity, including a pluralitations imposed by size regulatory, economic or out or constraints, to respond the second reproductive in the assessment area(s) for retail to oans, investments and service.	ports, and prior CRA evaluations of tand the institution's ability and ze, financial condition, or statutory, pond to safe and sound	
Discuss with the institution, and consider, 2 / in provide about its local community and each or capacity, or information that otherwise assists in	its business strategy, its lending	
Review community contact forms prepared by the information that assists in the evaluation of the ingovernmental or economic development represents information. Refer to the Community Contact	nstitution Con act in al community, entatives to a date or surplement	
Review the institution's public file and any comme he agency since the last CRA performance evaluation of the institution.		
	Errore Dotor	
	Exam Date: Prepared By: Reviewed By:	

WKP. REF.

- 9. By reviewing public evaluations and other financial data, determine whether any similarly situated institutions (in terms of size, financial condition, product offerings, and business strategy) serve the same or similar assessment area(s) and would provide relevant and accurate information for evaluating the institution's CRA performance. Consider, for example, whether the information could help identify:
 - lending, and consumity development opportunities available in the institution's assessment as that are compatible with the institution's business strategy and consister with all and sound banking practices;
 - constraints affecting the order tunities to make safe and sound retail loans, community development a unaqualified investments and community development services a unpublication in the institution's business strategy in the assessment area(s); and
 - successful CRA-related product ferings or activities utilized by other lenders serving the same or similar assessmentar.

10.	Document the performance context information, pretice dy emmunity
	development needs and opportunities, gathered for the institution's
	performance.

Assessment Area

- 11. Review the institution's stated assessment area(s) to ensure that it:
 - consists of one or more MSAs/MDs or contiguous political subdivisions (i.e., counties, cities, or towns);
 - includes the geographies where the institution has its main office, branches, and deposit-taking ATMs, as well as the surrounding geographies in which the institution originated or purchased a substantial portion of its loans;

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	

WKP.	REF.
------	------

- consists only of whole census tracts;
- consists of separate delineations for areas that extend substantially across MSA/MD or state boundaries unless the assessment area is in a multistate MSA/MD;
- does not reflect illegal discrimination; and
- does not arbitrarily exclude any low- or moderate-income area(s) taking into account the astration's size, branching structure, and financial condition.
- 12. If the assessment at s(s) execute coincide with the boundaries of an MSA/MD or political subdivision(s), assess the ner the adjustments to the boundaries were made because the assessment are two ld otherwise be too large for the institution to reasonably serve, have an unusual onfiguration, or include significant geographic barriers.
- 13. If the assessment area(s) fails to comply with the approval of criteria described above, develop, based on discussions with management, a teviser assessment area(s) that complies with the criteria. Use this assessment area(s), a even at the institution's performance, but do not otherwise consider this fact in a two at the institution's rating.

Lending, Investment, and Service Tests

Lending Test

- 14. Identify the institution's loans to be evaluated by reviewing:
 - the most recent HMDA and CRA Disclosure Statements, the interim HMDA LAR, and any interim CRA loan data collected by the institution;

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	

- a sample of consumer loans if consumer lending represents a substantial
 majority of the institution's business so that an accurate conclusion concerning
 the institution's lending record could not be reached without a review of
 consumer loans; and
- any other information the institution chooses to provide, such as small business loans secured by nonfarm residential real estate, home equity loans not reported for HMDA, unfunded commitments, any information on loans outstanding, and loan distable ion analyses conducted by or for the institution, including any explanations are centified concerns or actions taken to address them.
- 15. Test a sample of loan ales to write the accuracy of data collected and/or reported by the institution. In addition, a sure that:
 - affiliate loans reported by the distitution are not also attributed to the lending record of another affiliate subject. If C.A. This can be accomplished by requesting the institution to identify town on a are attributed and how it ensures that all the loans within a given lending rate for (e.g., small business loans, home purchase loans, motor vehicle, credit and consequity, other secured, and other unsecured loans) in a particular assectment dealere reported for all of the institution's affiliates if the institution elects to come my ffiliate loans;
 - loans reported as community development loans (inch ling thost originated or purchased by consortia or third parties) meet the definition of community development loans. Determine whether community development hans benefit the institution's assessment area(s) or a broader statewide or regional area that includes the institution's assessment area(s). Except for multi-family loans, ensure that community development loans have not also been reported by the institution or an affiliate as HMDA, small business or farm, or consumer loans. Review records provided to the institution by consortia or third parties or affiliates to ensure that the amount of the institution's third party or consortia or affiliate lending does not account for more than the institution's percentage share (based on the level of its participation or investment) of the total loans originated by the consortia, third parties, or affiliates; and

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	

- all consumer loans in a particular loan category have been included when the institution collects and maintains the data for one or more loan categories and has elected to have the information evaluated.
- 16. Identify the volume, both in number and dollar amount, of each type of loan being evaluated that the institution has made or purchased within its assessment area. Evaluate the institution's lending volume considering the institution's resources and business strategy and other information from the performance context, such as population, income, however, and business data. Note whether the institution conducts certain lending activities in the institution and other activities in an affiliate in a way that could be oppropriate sinfluence an evaluation of borrower or geographic distribution.
- 17. Review any analyses prepared by or for any officied by the institution for insight into the reasonableness of the institution's geograph to distribution of lending. Test the accuracy of the data and determine if the analysis are a sonable. If areas of low or no penetration were identified, review explanations and determine whether action was taken to address disparities, if appropriate.
- 18. Supplement with an independent analysis of geographic distriby on as accessary. As applicable, determine the extent to which the institution is serving a graphies in each income category and whether there are conspicuous gaps unexplained by the performance context. Conclusions should recognize that institutions are not required to lend in every geography. The analysis should consider:
 - (excluding affiliate lending) the number, dollar amount, and percentage of the
 institution's loans located within any of its assessment areas, as well as the
 number, dollar amount, and percentage of the institution's loans located outside
 any of its assessment areas;

- the number, dollar amount, and percentage of each type of loan in the institution's portfolio in each geography, and in each category of geography (low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income);
- the number of geographies penetrated in each income category, as determined in the previous step and the total number of geographies in each income category within the assessment area(s);
- the number of dollar amount of its home purchase, home refinancing, and home impressed to learn, respectively in each geography compared to the number of or a co-for family owner-occupied units in each geography;
- the number and ollar amount of multi-family loans in each geography compared to the hamber of multi-family structures in each geography;
- the number and dollar, not it of mall business and farm loans in each geography compared to the number of small businesses/farms in each geography; and
- whether any gaps exist in lending act atty a each income category, by identifying groups of contiguous geographics that have no loans or those with low penetration relative to the other geographics.
- 19. If there are groups of contiguous geographies within the astitution's assessment area with abnormally low penetration, you may determine if an analysis of the institution's performance compared to other lenders for home cortgas cloans (using reported HMDA data) and for small businesses and small farm load (using data provided by lenders subject to CRA) would provide an insight into the institution's lack of performance in those areas. This analysis is not required, but may provide insight if:
 - the reported loan category is substantially related to the institution's business strategies;
 - the area under analysis substantially overlaps the institution's assessment area(s);

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	

- the analysis includes a sufficient number and volume of transactions, and an adequate number of lenders with assessment area(s) substantially overlapping the institution's assessment area(s); and
- the assessment area data is free from anomalies that can cause distortions such as dominant lenders that are not subject to the CRA, a lender that dominates a part of an area used in calculating the overall lending, or there is an extraordinarily high level of performance, in the aggregate, by lenders in the institution's assessment area(s).
- 20. Using the analysis form sup 1% form a conclusion as to whether the institution's abnormally low penetration in servain areas should constitute a negative consideration under the general paid distribution performance criteria of the lending test by considering:
 - the institution's share of reports. Dang mach in low- and moderate-income geographies versus its share of reported by a smode in middle- and upper-income geographies within the assessment (e.g.);
 - the number of lenders with assessment area(s) ostandally overlapping the institution's assessment area(s);
 - the reasons for penetration of these areas by other letters, if any and the lack of penetration by the institution being examined developed the agh discussions with management and the community contact process;
 - the institution's ability to serve the subject area in light of (i) the demographic characteristics, economic condition, credit opportunities and demand; and (ii) the institution's business strategy and its capacity and constraints;
 - the degree to which penetration by the institution in the subject area in a different reported loan category compensates for the relative lack of penetration in the subject area; and

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	

- the degree to which penetration by the institution in other low- and moderateincome geographies within the assessment area(s) in reported loan categories compensates for the relative lack of penetration in the subject area.
- 21. Review any analyses prepared by or for and offered by the institution for insight into the reasonableness of the institution's distribution of lending by borrower characteristics. Task he accuracy of the data and determine if the analyses are reasonable. If the scale of low or no penetration were identified, review explanations and determine weether a for was taken to address disparities, if appropriate.
- 22. Supplement with an independent and this of the distribution of the institution's lending within the assessment are by bot ower characteristics as necessary and applicable. Consider factors such as:
 - the number, dollar amount, and percent set if the institution's total home mortgage loans and consumer loans, it nells is a received evaluation, to low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income box sweet.
 - the percentage of the institution's total home meeting elemental consumer loans, if included in the evaluation, to low-, moderate and dle-, and upper-income borrowers compared to the percentage of the population within the assessment area who are low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper moon;
 - the number and dollar amount of small loans originated to businesses or farms by loan size of less than \$100,000; at least \$100,000 but less than \$250,000; and at least \$250,000 but less than or equal to \$1,000,000;
 - the number and dollar amount of the small loans to businesses or farms that
 had annual revenues of less than \$1 million compared to the total reported
 number and dollar amount of small loans to businesses or farms; and

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	

WKP. REF.

if the institution adequately serves borrowers within the assessment area(s), whether the distribution of the institution's lending outside of the assessment area based on borrower characteristics would enhance the assessment of the institution's overall performance. Review data on the number and dollar amount of the institution's community development lo Ising information obtained in the performance context procedures, with regard to community credit needs and institutional he e innovativeness, and complexity of community capacity, evaluate development lene ete mine: development lending opportunities have been the extent to which o available to the instituti the institution's responsivenes to the opportunities for community development lending; and the extent of leadership the institution istrated in community development lending. Evaluate whether the institution's performance under the test is enhanced by offering innovative loan products or products with more h o meet the credit needs of low- and moderate-income individuals or geog nsider: the degree to which the loans serve low- and moderate-income creditworthy borrowers in new ways or loans serve groups of creditworthy borrowers not previously served by the institution; and the success of each product, including number and dollar amount of loans originated during the review period. Discuss with management the preliminary findings in this section.

23.

24.

25.

Exam Date:

WKP. REF.

26.	Summarize your conclusions regarding the institution's lending performance under the following criteria:
	• lending activity;
	• geographic distribution;
	• borrower characteristics;
	• community to go pment lending; and
	• use of innovative a flex ale lending practices.
27.	Prepare comments for the Nublices and ion and the examination report.
Inv	estment Test
28.	Identify qualified investments by reviewing the astriction tinvestment portfolio, and at the institution's option, its affiliate's investic at pacifo'. As necessary, obtain a prospectus, or other information that describes the type ment(s). This

- 29. Evaluate investment performance by determining:
 - whether the investments benefit the institution's assessment area(s) or a broader statewide or regional geographic area that includes the institution's assessment area(s);

review should encompass qualified investments that were nade sing the previous

qualified investments made prior to the previous examination seconts anding. Also consider qualifying grants, donations, or in-kind contributions of property since the

examination (including those that have been sold or have m. ured

last examination that are for community development purposes.

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	

hay consider

WKP. REF.

 whether the investments have been considered under the lending and service tests; 	
• whether an affiliate's investments, if considered, have been claimed by another institution;	
• the dollar amount of investments made to entities that are in or serve the assessment area, in relation to the institution's capacity and constraints, and assessment as characteristics and needs;	
• the use can coverive or complex investments, in particular those that are not routinely roviced a vother investors; and	
• the degree to witch investments serve low- and moderate-income areas or individuals, designated distress treas, or distressed or underserved nonmetropolitan middle income a craphies, and are responsive to available opportunities for qualified investment.	
Discuss with management the preliminary and age at this section.	
Summarize conclusions about the institution's investment at formance after considering:	
• the number and dollar amount of qualified investments;	
• the innovativeness and complexity of qualified investments;	
• the degree to which these types of investments are not routinely provided by other private investors; and	
• the responsiveness of qualified investments to available opportunities.	
Write comments for the public evaluation and the examination report.	_

30.

31.

32.

Exam Date:
Prepared By:
Reviewed By:
Docket #:

WKP. REF.

Service Test

Γ	Determine from information available in the institution's Public File:
	• the distribution of the institution's branches among low-, moderate-, middle-, and ap, er-income geographies in the institution's assessment area(s); and
	banking serve es, it duck g hours of operation and available loan and deposit products.
	Obtain the institution's explanation for a y material differences in the hours of operations of, or services available at, branches within low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income geographies in the institution's a dessent area(s).
	Evaluate the institution's record of opening and casing branch offices since the previous examination and information that could indicate visether changes have had a positive or negative effect, particularly on low- and motorate accord geographies or individuals.
	Evaluate the accessibility and use of alternative systems for delivering retail banking services, (e.g., proprietary and non-proprietary ATMs, loan production offices (LPOs), banking by telephone or computer, and bank-at-work or by-mail programs) in low- and moderate-income geographies and to low- and moderate-income individuals.

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	

WKP. REF.

37. Assess the quantity, quality and accessibility of the institution's service-delivery systems provided in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income geographies. Consider the degree to which services are tailored to the convenience and needs of each geography (e.g., extended business hours, including weekends, evenings or by appointment, providing bi-lingual services in specific geographies, etc.).

Community Devel prince Services

- 38. Identify the institution's compared we development services, including at the institution's option, services the hugh affiliates, through discussions with management and a review of material available from the public. Determine whether the services:
 - qualify under the definition of comments velopment services;
 - benefit the assessment area(s) or a broader to wide or regional area encompassing the institution's assessment to ea(s) and
 - if provided by affiliates of the institution, are no claimed, other affiliated institutions.
- 39. Evaluate in light of information gathered through the performance at text procedures:
 - the extent of community development services offered and used;
 - their innovativeness, including whether they serve low- or moderate-income customers in new ways or serve groups of customers not previously served; and
 - the degree to which they serve low- or moderate-income areas or individuals and their responsiveness to available opportunities for community development services.

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	

	WKP. REI
Discuss with management the preliminary findings.	
Summarize conclusions about the institution's system for delivering retail banking and community development services, considering:	
• the distribution of branches among low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income geograph s;	
• the institutions record of opening and closing branches, particularly branches located in low-to-moderate income geographies or primarily serving low- or moderate-income in lividuals;	
• the availability and effect the estate are relative systems for delivering retail banking services;	
• the extent to which the institution provides community development services;	
• the innovativeness and responsiveness of companies development services; and	
• the range and accessibility of services provided flow- moderate-, middle-, and upper-income geographies.	
Write comments for the public evaluation and the examination port.	

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	

WKP. REF.

Ratings

43.	Group the analyses of the assessment areas examined by MSA ³ and nonmetropolitan
	areas within each state where the institution has branches. If an institution has
	branches in two or more states of a multistate MSA, group the assessment areas that
	are in that multistate MSA.

- 44. Summarize concessions coarding the institution's performance in each MSA and nonmetropolitar, tree at each state in which an assessment area was examined using these procedures. It wood manages sment areas in an MSA or in a nonmetropolitan area of a state who examined using these procedures, determine the relative significance of the institutions's performance in each assessment area by considering:
 - The significance of the institution sile range qualified investments, and lendingrelated services in each compared to the ast tution's overall activities.
 - The lending, investment, and service opportunity in ach.
 - The significance of the institution's lending, qualified liver ments, and lending-related services for each, particularly in light of the number of their institutions and the extent of their activities in each.
 - Demographic and economic conditions in each.
- 45. Evaluate the institution's performance in those assessment area(s) not selected for examination using the procedures.
 - Revisit the demographic and lending, investment, and service data considered in scoping the examination. Also, consider the institution's operations (branches, lending portfolio mix, etc.) in the assessment area.

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	

³ The reference to MSA may also reference MD.

- Through a review of the public file(s), consider any services that are customized to the assessment area.
- Consider any other information provided by the institution (e.g., CRA self-assessment) regarding its performance in the area.
- 46. For MSAs, and the nonmetropolitan area of the state, where one or more assessment are awar examined using the procedures, ensure that performance in the assessment areas not examined using the procedures is consistent with the conclusions base on the assessment areas examined in step 44, above. Select one of the following option for actuain in the public evaluation:
 - The institution's [lendile, in estment, service] performance in [the assessment area/these assessment area/is consistent with the institution's [lending, investment, service] performance in the assessment areas within [the MSA/nonmetropolitan area of a start, the owere reviewed using the examination procedures.
 - The institution's [lending/investment/server, per a pance in [the assessment area/these assessment areas] [exceeds/is below the [lending/investment/service] performance in the assessment areas within [the MSA/nonmetropolitan area of the state] that were reviewed using the examination procedures; however, it does not change the conclusion for the [MSA/nonmetropolitan area of the state].
- 47. For MSAs, and the nonmetropolitan areas of the state, where no assessment area was examined using the procedures, form a conclusion regarding the institution's lending, investment, and service performance in the assessment area(s). When there are several assessment areas in the MSA, or the nonmetropolitan area of the state, form a conclusion regarding the institution's performance in the MSA, or the nonmetropolitan area of the state. Determine the relative significance of the institution's performance in each assessment area within the MSA, or nonmetropolitan area of the state, by considering:

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	

WKP. REF.

- The significance of the institution's lending, qualified investments, and lending-related services in each compared to the institution's overall activities.
- Demographic and economic conditions in each.

Also, select one of the following options for inclusion in the public evaluation:

- The institution's [lending, investment, service] performance in [the assessment area/these area ment areas] is consistent with the institution's [lending, investment, a with performance [overall/in the state].
- The institution's Parding investment/ service] performance in [the assessment area/these assessment area/the assessment area/these assessment area/these assessment area/the assessment area/these assessment area/these assessment area/the area/the assessment area/the assessment area/the area/the assessment area/the area/the area/the area/the area/the area/the area/t
- 48. To determine the relative significance of schools A and nonmetropolitan area to the institution's overall performance (institutions of errors in one state) or statewide or multistate MSA performance (institutions opening in other than one state), consider:
 - The significance of the institution's lending, qualified it a structure, and lending-related services in each compared to the institution's corral activities.
 - The lending, investment, and service opportunities in each.
 - The significance of the institution's lending, qualified investments, and lendingrelated services for each, particularly in light of the number of other institutions and the extent of their activities in each.
 - Demographic and economic conditions in each.
- 49. Using the Component Test Ratings chart, below, assign component ratings that reflect the institution's lending, investment, and service performance. In the case of an institution with branches in just one state, one set of component ratings will be assigned to the institution. In the case of an institution with branches in two or more

WKP. REF.

states and multistate MSAs, component ratings will be assigned for each state or multistate MSA reviewed.

Component Test Ratings	Lending (points)	Investment (points)	Service (points)
Outstanding	12	6	6
High satisfactory	9	4	4
Low satir act v	6	3	3
Needs in ve e	3	1	1
Substar al Noncompliano	0	0	0

Assign a preliminary composite raing for the institutions operating in only one state and a preliminary rating for each s te or m astate MSA reviewed for institutions operating in more than one state. In assign rating, sum the numerical values ment and service tests and refer of the component test ratings for the lendir to the chart, below. No institution, however, n n assigned rating of at lea "Low Satisfactory" on "Satisfactory" or higher unless it receives a ratim the lending test. In addition, an institution's assign he no more than three times the score on the lending test.

Composite Rating	Total ints
Outstanding	20 points of Ler
Satisfactory	11 through 19
Needs to Improve	5 through 10
Substantial Noncompliance	0 through 4

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	

- 51. Consider an institution's past performance if the prior rating was "Needs to Improve." If the poor performance has continued, an institution could be considered for a "Substantial Noncompliance" rating.
- 52. For institutions of a branches in more than one state or multistate MSA, assign a preliminary of end rolling. To determine the relative importance of each state and multistate MSA to the conduction's overall rating, consider:
 - The significance of the instantion's lending, qualified investments, and lendingrelated services in each on past d to the institution's overall activities.
 - The lending, investment, and so vice opportunities in each.
 - The significance of the institute p'tlending qualified investments, and lendingrelated services for each, particularly a light of the number of other institutions and the extent of their activities in each
 - Demographic and economic conditions in such
- 53. Review the results of the most recent compliance examina ion and a remine whether evidence of discriminatory or other illegal credit practice that toolate an applicable law, rule, or regulation should lower the institution's palimitary overall CRA rating, or the preliminary CRA rating for a state or multistate MSA⁴. If evidence of discrimination or other illegal credit practices by the institution in any geography, or in any assessment area by any affiliate whose loans have been considered as part of the bank's lending performance, was uncovered, consider the following:

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	

^{4 &}quot;Evidence of discriminatory or other illegal credit practices" includes, but is not limited to: (a) Discrimination against applicants on a prohibited basis in violation, for example, of the Equal Credit Opportunity Act or the Fair Housing Act; (b) Violations of the Home Ownership and Equity Protection Act; (c) Violations of section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act; (d) Violations of section 8 of the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act; and (e) Violations of the Truth in Lending Act regarding a consumer's right of rescission.

		WKP. REF.
	• The nature, extent, and strength of the evidence of the practices.	
	• The policies and procedures that the institution (or affiliate, as applicable) has in place to prevent the practices.	
	• Any corrective action the institution (or affiliate, as applicable) has taken or has committed to take, particularly voluntary corrective action resulting from a self-assessment.	
	Any other a eval t information.	
54.	Assign final overally ting to the institution, considering the preliminary rating and any evidence of discrimenator, or other illegal credit practices, and discuss conclusions with management.	
55.	Write comments and conclusions, and coate a crts and tables reflecting area demographics, the institution's operation and it leading investment and service activity in each assessment area for inclusion in the resolution and examination report.	
56.	Prepare recommendations for supervisory strategy and matters that require attention for follow-up activities.	

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	

WKP. REF.

Public File Checklist

- 57. There is no need to review each branch or each complete public file during every examination. In determining the extent to which the institution's public files will be reviewed, consider the institution's record of compliance with the public file requirements in previous examinations; its branching structure and changes to it since its last examination; complaints about the institution's compliance with the public file requirements, and any other relevant information.
- 58. In any review of the suble file dertaken, determine, as needed, whether branches display an accurate puble not a in their lobbies and the file(s) in the main office and in each state contains:
 - all written comments from the public relating to the institution's CRA performance and responses to them for the turrent and preceding two calendar years (except those that reflect adversely in the bod name or reputation of any persons other than the institution);
 - the institution's most recent CRA Public Performance Evaluation;
 - a map of each assessment area showing its boundaries. d or the map or in a separate list, the geographies contained within the assessment are
 - a list of the institution's branches, branches opened and closs a during the current and each of the prior two calendar years, and their street are dresses and geographies;
 - a list of services (loan and deposit products and transaction fees generally offered, and hours of operation at the institution's branches), including a description of any material differences in the availability or cost of services between these locations;
 - the institution's CRA disclosure statements for the prior two calendar years;
 - a quarterly report of the institution's efforts to improve its record if it received a less than satisfactory rating during its most recent CRA examination;

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	

WKP. REF.

- the HMDA Disclosure Statement for the prior two calendar years for the institution and for each non-depository affiliate the institution has elected to include in assessment of its CRA record, if applicable; and
- if applicable, the number and dollar amount of consumer loans made to the four income categories of borrowers and geographies (low, moderate, middle and upper), and the number and dollar amount located inside and outside of the assessment arm(s).
- 59. In any branch renew order, ken, determine whether the branch provides the most recent public evaluation and a list of services generally available at its branches and a description of any manifal dileter tes in availability or cost of services at the branch (or a list of services available at the branch).

Examiner's Summary, Recommandations, and Comments

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	